

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,088	07/14/2003	Elizabeth Jaffee	001107.00363	4098	
22907 BANNER & W	7590 03/16/2007 /ITCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER			
1100 13th STR	•	BRISTOL, LYNN ANNE			
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1643		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER					
	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		TORNEY DOCKET NO.	
10/618,088	July 14,2003	Elizabeth Ja	iffee etal.	001107,00	363
77 - 5 -					-
			L	EXAMINER	
			Lynn	n Jristol	_
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
• •			1643		
•			DATE MAILE	D :	
	INTERVI	EW SUMMARY			
articipants (applicant, applicar	nt's representative, PTO personnel)): .	•		
CI zahath =	Tallox	(3) Alicia	Hager	~	
Saal ka	100	(4) Larra	Helms		
Sarah Ka	927				
of Interview	<u> </u>	_(5) Lynn	Bristal		
e: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Person	al (copy is given to applicant	applicant's representative	e).		
bit shown or demonstration co	onducted: Yes No If yes, b	orief description:			
eement 🗆 was reached. 🔀	was not reached.		•		
· · ·	5.4) (5.1)				
(-) diamond 323 - 5	323 111			•	
m(s) discussed: 22 - S	38,111		····	•	
m(s) discussed: 22 - s	<u> </u>				
ntification of prior art discussed			<i>C</i> :	. ()	
ntification of prior art discussed	of what was agreed to if an agreem	nent was reached, or any oth	er comments: 🖒	ened discus	 Sìo:(1
ntification of prior art discussed	of what was agreed to if an agreem	ent was reached, or any oth	- 1 .	emeral discussions	
ntification of prior art discussed	of what was agreed to if an agreem	- treating us	aneneutiv		
cription of the general nature of meaning for " results for an	of what was agreed to if an agreem vaccine" language is mal model by	-treating us 1 11 and 3 pa	tient tr	gicomporiso	
cription of the general nature of meaning for " results for an	of what was agreed to if an agreem vaccine" language in mal model by delivery vector	- treating us 1 11 and 3 pa (Listeria or	breventing tient tr naked	gicomporisonial/Phase DNA), disc	ocaq
cription of the general nature of meaning for " results for an	of what was agreed to if an agreem vaccine" language in mal model by delivery vector	-treating us 1 11 and 3 pa	breventing tient tr naked	gicomporisonial/Phase DNA), disc	ocaq
cription of the general nature of the general nature of the general nature of the general nature of the sulfs for an analysis of the	of what was agreed to if an agreem vacci ne" language in mal model by delivery vector ont study (Phase	- treating us 1 11 and 3 pa (Listeria or eit) and evido	preventing tient to naked nac re: a	gicomporiso ial / Phase DNA), disc rubstanding re	ocard
cription of the general nature of the general nature of the general nature of the general nature of the sulfs for an analysis of the	of what was agreed to if an agreem vacci ne" language in mal model by delivery vector ont study (Phase	- treating us 1 11 and 3 pa (Listeria or eit) and evido	preventing tient to naked nac re: a	g comparison of all phase the claims allowate a summary thereof must	OSOCIO OSOCIO Joda Joda Joda Joda Joda Joda Joda Joda
results for an discussed for an attraction of the general nature of the general nature of the formation of the general nature of the gene	of what was agreed to if an agreem Vaccine language in mal model sx delivery vector out study (Phase and a copy of the amendments, if a copy of the amendments which we cant to provide a separate record of	11 and 3 par (Listeria or ett) and evide available, which the examine ould render the claims allow	tient to haked naked naked naked ake agreed would reable is available, a	gicomporison con provided the claims allowate a summary thereof must discontinuous discontinuo di discontinuo discontinuo discontinuo discontinuo di	05000000000000000000000000000000000000
results for an accessory for applices the paragraph above has	of what was agreed to if an agreem Vaccine language in mal model sx delivery vector out study (Phase and a copy of the amendments, if a copy of the amendments which we cant to provide a separate record of the been checked to indicate to the contractions.	11 and 3 par (Listeria or ett) and evide available, which the examine ould render the claims allow the substance of the intervi- intrary. A FORMAL WRITTER	preventional treatment to the naked maked	processor of the company of the company thereof must have the company thereof must have the company thereof must have the LAST OFFICE ACTION THE LAST OFFICE ACTION TO THE LAS	DSQUE
cription of the general nature of the general nature of the general nature of the services of the services of the attached. Also, where not the control of the paragraph above has NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCO on has are ready been filed, A	of what was agreed to if an agreem Vaccine anguage in mal model by delivery vector and a copy of the amendments, if becopy of the amendments which we cant to provide a separate record of the copy of the SUBSTANCE OF THE PPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONT	11 and 3 particles of the substance of the interview. A FORMAL WRITTEI INTERVIEW. (See MPEPS	maked ma	DNA), disconding the claims allowable summary thereof must	Degrado político polí
results for an discussed for an ing for a meaning for a me	of what was agreed to if an agreem Vaccine anguage and model so delivery vector out stray (Phase and a copy of the amendments, if a copy of the amendments which we cant to provide a separate record of been checked to indicate to the core LUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE PPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH W.	11 and 3 particles of the substance of the interview. (See MPEPS H FROM THIS INTERVIEW	er agreed would reable is available, a liew. N RESPONSE TO Section 713.04). If DATE TO FILE A Section 113.04.	DNA), disconding the claims allowate a summary thereof must be a response to the last of the contract of the last of the contract of the last of the contract of the last of t	DSQUID DSQUID Jebed 15 TION C
results for an accessary, st be attached. Also, where no ched.) It is not necessary for application has are ready been filed, ABSTANCE OF THE INTERVIE Since the Examiner's interview of the paragraph and requirements and requirements.	of what was agreed to if an agreem Vaccine anguage in mal model by delivery vector and a copy of the amendments, if o copy of the amendments which we cant to provide a separate record or been checked to indicate to the cor ELUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE PPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH W. riew summary above (including any s that may be present in the last of	11 and 3 par (Listeria or eth) and evide available, which the examine ould render the claims allows of the substance of the intervi- intrary. A FORMAL WRITTEI INTERVIEW. (See MPEP S H FROM THIS INTERVIEW of attachments) reflects a confice action, and since the cla	er agreed would reable is available, a liew. NRESPONSE TO Section 713.04). If DATE TO FILE A supplete response to aims are now allow	comparison of the claims allowable are sponse to the last of STATEMENT OF THE each of the objections, rable, this completed for	Degradion of the control of the cont
results for an accessary, st be attached. Also, where no ched.) It is not necessary for application has are ready been filed, ABSTANCE OF THE INTERVIE Since the Examiner's interview of the paragraph and requirements and requirements.	of what was agreed to if an agreem Vicci ne" anguage in mal model by delivery vector and a copy of the amendments, if copy of the amendments which we cant to provide a separate record or been checked to indicate to the core LUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE PPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH W. Tiew summary above (including any st that may be present in the last of esponse requirements of the last of	11 and 3 par (Listeria or eth) and evide available, which the examine ould render the claims allows of the substance of the intervi- intrary. A FORMAL WRITTEI INTERVIEW. (See MPEP S H FROM THIS INTERVIEW of attachments) reflects a confice action, and since the cla	er agreed would reable is available, a liew. NRESPONSE TO Section 713.04). If DATE TO FILE A supplete response to aims are now allow	THE LAST OFFICE AC a response to the last C STATEMENT OF THE	Degradion of the control of the cont
results for an accessary, or application of the general nature of the general nature of the second of	of what was agreed to if an agreem which he and a copy of the amendments, if a copy of the amendments which we cant to provide a separate record of the copy of the SUBSTANCE OF THE PPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH W. The separate record of the copy of the amendments which we cant to provide a separate record of the copy of the SUBSTANCE OF THE PPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH W. The separate requirements of the last of the seponse requirements of the last of the above is also checked.	11 and 3 particles a confice action, and since the claims alowed attachments) reflects a confice action, and since the claims and another form.	er agreed would reable is available, a siew. N RESPONSE TO Section 713.04). If DATE TO FILE A simplete response to aims are now allow relieved from prov	THE LAST OFFICE AC a response to the last OSTATEMENT OF THE each of the objections, rable, this completed for iding a separate record	Degradion of the control of the cont
results for an accessary, or application of the general nature of the general nature of the second of	of what was agreed to if an agreem Vicci ne" anguage in mal model by delivery vector and a copy of the amendments, if copy of the amendments which we cant to provide a separate record or been checked to indicate to the core LUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE PPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH W. Tiew summary above (including any st that may be present in the last of esponse requirements of the last of	11 and 3 particles a confice action, and since the claims alowed attachments) reflects a confice action, and since the claims and another form.	er agreed would reable is available, a siew. N RESPONSE TO Section 713.04). If DATE TO FILE A simplete response to aims are now allow relieved from prov	THE LAST OFFICE AC a response to the last OSTATEMENT OF THE each of the objections, rable, this completed for iding a separate record	Degradion of the control of the cont
intification of prior art discussed accipition of the general nature of the second of	of what was agreed to if an agreem which he and a copy of the amendments, if a copy of the amendments which we cant to provide a separate record of the copy of the SUBSTANCE OF THE PPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH W. The separate record of the copy of the amendments which we cant to provide a separate record of the copy of the SUBSTANCE OF THE PPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH W. The separate requirements of the last of the seponse requirements of the last of the above is also checked.	11 and 3 particles a confice action, and since the claims alowed attachments) reflects a confice action, and since the claims and another form.	er agreed would reable is available, a siew. N RESPONSE TO Section 713.04). If DATE TO FILE A simplete response to aims are now allow relieved from prov	THE LAST OFFICE AC a response to the last C STATEMENT OF THE	Dead is the control of the control o

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

- (b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be <u>filed</u> by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)
- § 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Tradamark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stiputation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Petent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the light hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Serial Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- -An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- -An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy
 of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the
 contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form with not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary
 Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.